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AGENDA

1. (1:00-1:05): Approval of January 31, 2011 Meeting Summary (Kathleen Komar)
2. (1:05-1:15): TIF Response Letter (Kathleen Komar)
3. (1:15-1:45): Student Subcommittee (Jonathan Kuo/Derrick Hibar)
5. (2:15-2:45): Online Software Training (Jackie Reynolds)
6. (2:45-2:50): Faculty Digital Presence (Jerry Kang)
7. (2:50-2:55): IP Address Info (Mike Van Norman)
8. (2:55-3:00): New Business (Kathleen Komar)
Agenda Item #3: Mobile Apps (Rose Rocchio, Ed Sakabu)

Rose gave a brief overview of mobile apps on campus; trends indicate that mobile access will overtake fixed access by 2013. The advantage of mobile access is that it is browser based, allowing for a broader distribution and requiring only one set of code. MIT built a mobile web framework and made it open source. However, it did not fit UCLA’s needs. In Fall 2009, OIT began building the UCLA Mobile Web Framework (UCLA MWF) allowing for a unified mobile
presence and UCLA branding. The Pilot Mobile Steering Committee works closely with CCLE to coordinate applications for students and teaching. There are issues about privacy and strategic questions that need to be addressed.

Additionally, other campuses are interested in collaboration. A focus group held in 2010 returned results of student use. The steering committee is ready to take the framework to ITLC (IT Leadership Council).

This topic should be brought to ITPB again for future updates.

**Agenda Item #4: UC Online Instructional Pilot (Davis)**

The recent call for proposals resulted in about 70 submissions across UC. Approximately 25 are to be awarded. Nine of the courses involved cross campus collaborations. UCLA submitted 12 courses for consideration. The review committee should be releasing their results today.

The FCET will take this issue forward. Infrastructure issues are being discussed with the ITLC and ETLG with a joint session meeting to be held in February.

**Agenda Item #5: IDRE/HP (Smith)**

Marsha Smith discussed a new call for proposals that requires an abstract due in February, with the full proposal due in April. In partnership with Warren Mori, Art Toga and Diane Favro, IDRE will provide support to assist faculty in applying and fulfilling grants. Notices will be sent to the various boards to encourage a broader number of applicants for available grants. If you have any questions, please contact Marsha Smith.

**Agenda Item #6: New Initiatives (Komar)**

Subcommittees are being formed and will bring future developments to board meetings. Kathy Komar will keep ITPB updated.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:40 AM.
February 4, 2011

Committee on IT Infrastructure (CITI)
Deans’ Council
Information Technology Planning Board (ITPB)

Dear Colleagues:

As you know, the Technology Infrastructure Fee (TIF) Review Committee chaired by Professor Kathy Komar recently concluded an evaluation of TIF, which is now in its fourth year. I have reviewed the Committee’s recommendations with you and have received comments from a number of interested faculty, staff and administrators. Based on this feedback, I have accepted the recommendations of the TIF Review Committee, with some modifications, and my responses to the report follow:

1) The Committee concluded that the FTE model still seems the most appropriate and practical, and most, although not all the deans agreed. Based on the Committee’s analysis and the feedback I received, we will maintain the FTE model going forward.

2) The Committee recommended that students enrolled in self-supporting programs should pay into the TIF. In response, I have asked Vice Chancellor Steve Olsen to further analyze this recommendation for compliance with university policy and to determine if program fees already cover TIF-eligible expenses.

3) The Committee recommended that TIF should be used to support the medical enterprise email system and the cost of connecting off-campus medical center/clinical operations. This recommendation received widespread support, and I have asked Administrative Vice Chancellor Morabito and his staff to implement it effective July 1, 2011.

4) The Committee recommended that TIER (Technology Infrastructure for Instruction and Research) funds should disband as a subset fund and become part of the regular TIF used for common good infrastructure services that are operational. Once new common good services have moved into production, TIF should be the funding source for the cost of operations and maintenance. This recommendation received widespread support, and I have accepted it, recognizing that this creates some new challenges. Funding from TIF will continue to be used for some IT planning until there is an alternative.

5) The Committee recommended that UCLA should create a substantial fund for technology planning across the whole campus. This recommendation had strong agreement. I have asked Vice Provost Jim Davis to develop a proposal for such a fund to be submitted for review by CITI, Deans’ Council, and ITPB.
6) The Committee noted that PIs would benefit from more help in getting TIF direct costs accepted in research grant proposals. I have forwarded this recommendation to Vice Chancellor James Economou and asked him to consider how to best assist faculty in this regard.

7) The Committee recommended that any future changes being considered for TIF should come to the Information Technology Planning Board for review, comment, and approval. I agree with this recommendation, but note that consultation with the ITPB is necessary but insufficient. Also needed is consultation with the deans and, where appropriate, POSSSE. A number of deans expressed a need to be more involved in decision-making about the allocation of IT funds and technology planning. Future consultation about IT planning and investments must extend to the deans, and I will do all I can to facilitate this process.

I want to thank the TIF Review Committee for its excellent report and to all of you for the careful attention you devote to IT planning and stewardship of our IT resources.

Sincerely,

Scott L. Waugh
Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost

cc: Chancellor Gene D. Block
Hello Joe Bruin,

We recently received a report from our Network Security Group indicating a potential compromise of your UCLA Logon ID credentials. The report shows activity on your account from geographically distant locations within a small timeframe. Details pertaining to the activity are provided below.

Please reply to this email to confirm the validity of this activity (we are not asking for your password or any sensitive information, and never will). If this activity does not appear to be valid, please immediately change your password and security question at the UCLA Logon ID website (logon.ucla.edu) and let us know that you have done so by replying to this email.

We appreciate your prompt attention to this matter. If you would like to speak to someone regarding this matter, please give us a call at (310) 267-4357, or visit us on campus at Kerckhoff Hall Suite 124.

UCLA Electronic Abuse Desk
abuse@ucla.edu

Event #1

wireless
Location: Los Angeles, United States
IP: 131.179.32.30
ISP: UCLA
Org: Communications Technology Services (BruinOnLine)
Date: 2011-02-16 14:44:12

vpn
Location: Shanghai, China
IP: 61.170.138.45
ISP: Data Communication Division
Org: CHINANET-SH
Date: 2011-02-16 18:35:03

Distance and time between authentication events:
Miles: 6500.57
Time: 3.8475 hours (230.85 minutes)
AVG MPH: 1689.56