Information Technology Planning Board
Meeting Summary
Monday, June 17, 2013
Math Sciences 5628

ITPB Attendees: Julie Austin, Jim Davis, Robin Garrell, Jerry Kang, John Mamer, Warren Mori, Janice Reiff, Vincent Riggs, Gary Strong, Robert Trelease

Michelle Chen, recorder

Absent: Cameron Campbell, Jonathan Furner, Frank Gilliam, Jr., Christopher Lee, Neil Netanel, Chon Noriega, Jack Powazek, John Riley, Joseph Rudnick, Jeremy Reynard, Guy Rodgers

Invited Guests: Kelly Arruda, Davida Johnson, Arash Naeim, Annelie Rugg

Resources: Rose Rocchio, Kent Wada, Andrew Wissmiller

Chair Jerry Kang called the meeting to order at 10:09 AM.

Agenda Item #1: Approval of May 10, 2013 Meeting Summary

The summary from the May 10, 2013 meeting was approved.

Agenda Item #2: Proposed UC IT Accessibility Policy (Kent Wada/Rose Rocchio)

The proposed IT Accessibility policy is coming from UCOP and there is an open review period on the policy through July 22, 2013. OIT is collecting feedback on behalf of UCLA within the next two weeks.

The policy affirms the university’s commitment to make all forms of electronic communication accessible, including all aspects such as color contrast. The policy itself is a statement of principle, with details going under guidelines. The goal of the policy is to be in a constant state of improvement rather than a state of being.

Under the policy, all UC campuses must establish an IT Accessibility Program that will provide a strategy to address IT accessibility in a systematic fashion. There are 10 requirements of the program, and the Chancellor of each campus has to designate an individual to oversee the program. Web standards and new developments/purchases are considered high priority and must be measured as part of the formal program evaluation process. There was a provision made in the policy that each university would set its own priorities based on its resources.

The language in the policy is going through legal scrutiny, but in general the policy is more about creating a process to evaluate accessibility rather than holding campuses to certain deliverables. There is also a group looking into how the policy will relate to online education initiatives. It will be up to each campus to determine how to target different groups because each
The policy group has different needs. How the policy will affect student areas is not well defined, so the campus is trying to get more clarification on compliance with student-related issues.

**Agenda Item #3: Research Informatics Strategic Plan (Arash Naeim/Jim Davis)**

This is a reprise of the Research Informatics Strategic Plan discussion from the previous ITPB meeting.

The RISP group took the comments from the last ITPB meeting and responded accordingly. The group is not aiming to create an entirely new RISP Board, but will instead draw from existing campus entities that have broad reach to form a group that will be charged with prioritizing and evaluating research informatics initiatives. IDRE will be responsible for operational programs, OIT and the OVCR will be the sponsors of the group, and ITPB and CITI will provide oversight of direction, policy, and investment.

Phase 2 of the RISP Plan includes improving collaboration and communication through training and education and bringing in expertise around campus. There are a number of themes to action stated in the Plan, but many of the initiatives have already been going on around campus, so the group needs to have better involvement and communication with the people who have been working on these programs.

The next step is to take the draft of the RISP Plan out to the 130 faculty members who participated in the process, then broadly disseminate to the campus for comment. The RISP group will follow-up with the ITPB in the Fall after getting feedback from the group of faculty members.

**Agenda Item #4: Next meeting and adjournment (Jerry Kang)**

The meeting was adjourned at 12:12 PM. Doodle polls for the Fall 2013 meetings will be sent out to the Board.