AGENDA

1. (10:30-10:40) Welcome, Introductions and Review of Agenda and Objectives (Chair John Mamer and Vice Chair Kathy Bawn)

2. (10:40-10:45): Approval of June 10, 2014 Meeting Summary (John Mamer)

3. (10:45-11:00): Review of previous ITPB endorsements, transition roadmaps and status with (1) Microsoft EM and transition to Office 365, (2) Google email for students and faculty and access to Google apps, (3) transition from BOL and (4) Box.net (Andrew Wissmiller) – see attached documentation

4. (11:00-11:15): “Review and Comment” – Campus Data Classifications to guide appropriate cloud resource use (Kent Wada)

   [Institutionally provided and contracted cloud services offer different services and risks compared to public cloud offerings [ITPB discussion – delineation of meaningful and education-resolving differences in guiding appropriate cloud resource use; campus communications] – see attached documentation on campus data classifications.

5. (11:15-11:55): “Review and Comment” – UCLA’s Institutional Deployment of Public Offering Cloud Services (Google, Microsoft, Box.net) (Andrew Wissmiller, Mark Bower, Tom Trappler, Michelle Lew)

   a) Review and comment: Institutional Google core apps includes Google Classroom which is an application that allows instructors to post content for a class [ITPB discussion – Google Classroom is another mechanism for posting course content compared to CCLE which also provides this capability; if included as an instructional tool Google classroom would require support and resources in OID, ITS and departments; Google Classroom is currently turned off; what should be the campus communications about the application]

   b) Review of current Microsoft Consolidated Campus Agreement- MCCA (Tom, 5 minutes) - see attached summary of the agreement

   c) Review and comment: new service consideration Office 365 Pro Plus Benefit and linkages to MCCA [ITPB discussion - value, campus emphasis approach; what should be the campus communications] – see attached summary of the Office 365 Pro Plus Benefit offering
d) Review and comment: Institutional Google email Google core apps involved different campus implications from personal Google email and non-Google core applications [ITPB discussion – distinctions sufficient distinguish or not; what should be the campus communications]

e) Review and comment: Box.net is a more managed and secured shared storage offering with better performance than Dropbox but without a Health Systems BAA [ITPB discussion – expected use across campus; campus communications]

f) ’Review and comment’ - Campus communication plans [There are communications plans in progress [ITPB discussions comment on areas that require additional emphasis and how that emphasis can be accomplished]

6. (11:55-12:15): Information: Summary of endorsement votes on Box.net and Lynda.com and next steps (John Mamer and Glyn Davies)

[The ITPB has endorsed Box.net and Lynda.com as meeting threshold criteria to be ‘considered’ for a campus funding approach. Campus funding including TIF requires its own processes which themselves involve a number of considerations and reviews.]


[At the beginning of each academic year, we review the overall Governance Structure and Processes. This includes: (1) the ITPB, CITI and CSG core structure, (2) the basis for ITPB membership, (3) definitions of key ITPB actions 'endorsement', 'review and comment', and 'report and information' (4) Funding Structures agreements with reference to the IT2020 and (5) review of the 'Endorsement' process.] see attached summary

8. (12:25-12:30): Next meeting and adjournment (John Mamer and Kathy Bawn)