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**Strategic Planning**

Where do we want to be, who do want to be?
What are the significant drivers of success?
Broad agreement; deep commitment
Leadership for vision

Long range-short range
Imagination-near term practical
Proactive-reactive

**Planning Process/Complexity**

Giving birth to a process – interactive and sustainable
Involve community
Sensitive to local issues
Stimulate thinking

Technology complex
Pace of change creates complexity
Representation of something that is very broad based in use and diversity of application

**ITPB Impact**

There must be university impact
Goals must be set; tangible outcomes
There must be follow-up
Document is not the deliverable; it is a vehicle
Flexibility to pursue opportunity
Role of ITPB must be real

**Quick Hit**

Move ahead quickly
Plan-implement-test
ITPB needs to come together and focus
Can’t be long drawn out process
Deliverables

Research on planning at other institutions
Research on external drivers
Inventory of UCLA capability-starting point
Inventory of initiatives-what is UCLA trying to do
Community involvement
Recognized and credible leadership by ITPB

Vision for IT that leaves a sense of direction
Directions defined as areas of university wide emphasis
Implementation defined as broad objective areas

Ex: Area of emphasis - Position institution for interdisciplinary/institutional grants and partnerships about IT and society impact

Implementation – infrastructure, leadership for institutional grants

Trust/Open Discussion

Come together as a planning group; not naturally together
Plan cannot be a tool against opportunity
Overcome divisiveness
Build trust with UCLA leaders